

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-5, 7-23 and 25-34 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 5, 11, 16-18, 20, 23, 32 and 33 are amended. These amendments are supported by Applicants' specification at least at paragraph [0026]. Claim 34 is added. No new matter is added. Reconsideration of the application based on the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The courtesies extended to Applicants' representatives by Examiner Termanini during the telephone interviews held May 28, 2009, June 1, 2009 and June 2, 2009 are appreciated. The reasons presented at the interviews as warranting favorable action are incorporated into the remarks below, which constitute Applicants' record of the interview.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-5, 7-23 and 25-33 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Zoomable user interfaces as a medium for slide show presentations, Lance Good & Benjamin B Bederson, Published March 2002, (hereinafter "Good"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 1, 16 and 17 recite, among other features, automatically updating the hierarchy when a user edits the layout in the zoomable space. Claims 18, 32 and 33 recite similar features.

As discussed during the telephone interviews, Good teaches a hierarchy and hierarchy editor at, *e.g.*, page 44, second column last paragraph and Fig. 6. Good does not teach automatically updating the hierarchy when a user edits the layout in the zoomable space.

For at least the foregoing reasons, Good cannot reasonably be considered to teach the combinations of all of the features positively recited in claims 1, 16-18, 32 and 33. Further, Good cannot reasonably be considered to teach the combinations of all of the features recited in claims 2-5, 7-15, 19-23 and 25-31 for at least the dependence of these claims on allowable

base claims, as well as for the separately patentable subject matter that each of these claims recites.


Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-5, 7-23 and 25-33 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Good are respectfully requested.

As agreed during the July 1, 2009 telephone interview, added claim 34 is supported by Applicants' specification, at least, at Fig.4. Claim 34 is allowable at least for its dependence on an allowable base claim. Further as agreed during the July 1, 2009 telephone interview, Good does not teach the features recited in claim 34.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-5, 7-23 and 25-34 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Daniel A. Tanner, III  
Registration No. 54,734

JAO:MIL/add

Date: July 9, 2009

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 320850**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320-4850**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

<p><b>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION</b> Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
---